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General

EAST-ADL:
• to support the engineering effort for automotive embedded systems

AUTOSAR
• to capture the software architecture*

*Architecture in the sense of components and their relationships to each other
2 Kinds of Differences

• Abstraction Levels:
  • EAST-ADL complements AUTOSAR with higher levels of abstractions
    • Vehicle Level
    • Analysis Level
    • Design Level

• Engineering Information Scope:
  • EAST-ADL complements AUTOSAR with more concepts
    • Requirements Engineering
    • Variant Management
    • Timing
    • Safety
    • Behaviour (nominal/error)
Important Similarity

• Same “Meta Meta Model”
  • AUTOSAR Meta Modelling Guideline

• Easy to integrate AUTOSAR and EAST-ADL
  • Domain Model of EAST-ADL
    • Defined in Enterprise Architect
    • AUTOSAR template profile (atp stereotypes) applied
    • Possible to process through AUTOSAR MMT tool
The Abstraction Level Difference
EAST-ADL Defines

(on Design level)
• Hardware entities/topology
• Functional structure & behavior
• Function-to-ECU allocation

These engineering decisions are constraints for AUTOSAR SW Architecture and mapping
AUTOSAR defines

- Hardware entities and topology with enough detail to support SW configuration
- Software components with runnables
- Mapping to tasks and frames
- Mapping to ECUs and busses
Software vs. Functional Architecture

Software and functional architecture is orthogonal

Software architecture

• A system decomposition from an implementation viewpoint corresponding to the final product

Functional architecture

• A system decomposition from an functional viewpoint defining the logical parts of the system and how they interact

The same functional architecture may be “packaged” in several ways resulting in different software architectures

EAST-ADL concepts capture information that is the rationale for an implementation description using AUTOSAR concepts
Structural Compliance

System Model

Design Level
- Functional Design Architecture
  - Functions
  - MW
  - Sensors/Actuators
- Hardware Design Architecture

Implementation Level
- AUTOSAR SWC Template
- AUTOSAR ECU Resource Template
- AUTOSAR System Template

Environment Model

Design Level

Implementation Level
Mapping of EAST-ADL vs. AUTOSAR

Runnable is the behavioural entity in AUTOSAR (SWC is structure)

Function represents structure and behavior in EAST-ADL

=> Fundamental mapping is ’Function – Runnable’

Different mappings are possible

• ‘Function – AR Composition’
• ’Function – AR SW Component’

(’1 Function – n Composition/SW Component/Runnable’ is incorrect)
A possible methodology

1. Define functional structure in EAST-ADL
2. Optional: Identify blocks that should go together in a SW component and put constraints regarding components
3. Define AR SWC and Runnables
4. Map elementary or composite Functions to appropriate AR SWC or Runnable (Realization relation)

- the behavior of the runnable is defined in the corresponding Function
- the packaging into SWC/runnables is independent of functional structure
- SW architecture can be traced back to functions, features, requirements
Examples of Function-to-component Mappings

Function to SW Component
Examples of function-to-component Mappings

n Function to 1 SW Component
Examples of function-to-component Mappings

Function to runnable
The Information Scope Difference
A Modular View of EAST-ADL

Timing Constraints
Safety Constraints
General Non-functional Constraints
ISO26262 Support
Variability Management
Behavioural Modelling
Requirement Engineering
Adding Capability to EAST-ADL
⇒ Adding also to AUTOSAR (to a certain extent)

- Timing Constraints
- Safety Constraints
- Requirement Engineering
- Vehicle Level
- Analysis Level
- Design Level
- Impl. Level (AUTOSAR)
- Variability Management
- Behavioural Modelling
- General Non-functional Constraints
- ISO26262 Support
Today: EAST-ADL fully AUTOSAR 3.x compatible enabling much of extensions to AUTOSAR 3.x templates
Conclusions

Abstraction Levels / Separation of Concerns

• AUTOSAR
  • Defines the software architecture
  • Implementation details

• EAST-ADL
  • Design Level defines the functional architecture
  • Logic/Functional aspects

Concepts / Information Scope

• AUTOSAR
  • Limited scope
  • Higher in later versions

• EAST-ADL
  • Broader scope
  • Capable to Enrich AUTOSAR on Implementation Level